

<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
10/711,824	SMITH, ROBERT I.	
<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
Kristie A. Mahone	3751	

**Status of Application:** Pending

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time: 9:00 A.M.**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

## Part 1.

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

**Claims discussed:**

**Prior art documents discussed:**

## Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

**See Continuation Sheet**

### Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The inconsistent recitation of a "holding tank" and "toilet tank" in Claims, 1, 5, and 6, was explained to Applicant's attorney, Mr. Hopen. To resolve the inconsistency, Examiner Mahone proposed deletion of the terms "holding" and/or "toilet", such that the claims simply recite a "tank". Mr. Hopen approved.